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‘Quantitative’ phase-field models

- Properties bulk and interfaces are reproduced accurately in the simulations
  - Effect model description and parameters
  - Numerical issues

- Insights in the evolution of complex morphologies and grain assemblies
  - Effect of individual bulk and interface properties

- Predictive?
  - Depends on availability and accuracy of input data
    - Requires composition and orientation dependence
General framework and goal

Experiments, atomistic simulations and thermodynamic models
Crystal structure, phase diagram, interfacial properties (energy, mobility, anisotropy), diffusion properties, ...

Phase-field simulations
Microstructure evolution at the mesoscale

Quantitative characterization
Average grain size, grain size distribution, volume fractions, texture, ...
Basis for statistical and mean field theories
Some aspects of model formulation

- 2-phase systems (single phase-field)
- Multi-grain/phase systems (multiple phase-field)
**2-phase systems**

- **Field variables:** \( \phi(r, t) \), \( c_k(r, t) \)
  - \( \alpha \)-phase
  - \( \beta \)-phase

- **Free energy**
  \[
  F = \int_V \left[ f_{\text{chem}}(c, \phi) + Wg(\phi) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \right] d\vec{r}
  \]
  - Interfacial energy

- **Bulk energy**
  \[
  f_{\text{chem}} = h(\phi) f^{\beta}(c, T) + \left[ 1 - h(\phi) \right] f^{\alpha}(c, T)
  \]

- **Double well function**
  \[
  g(\phi) = 16\phi^2(1-\phi)^2
  \]

- **Interpolation function**
  \[
  h(\phi) = \phi(10-15\phi+6\phi^2)
  \]

- **Phase** \( \alpha: \phi = 0 \)
- **Phase** \( \beta: \phi = 1 \)
- **Composition:** \( c_B \)
Decoupling bulk and interfacial energy

- Interface treated as mixture of 2 phases
  - c-field for each phase \( c \rightarrow c^\alpha, c^\beta \)
  - Equal interdiffusion potential + conservation

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial f^\beta (c^\beta)}{\partial c^\beta} &= \frac{\partial f^\alpha (c^\alpha)}{\partial c^\alpha} = \hat{\mu} \\
n &= h(\phi)c^\beta + [1 - h(\phi)]c^\alpha
\end{align*}
\]

- Bulk energy

\[
\Rightarrow f_{\text{chem}} = h(\phi)f^\beta (c^\beta) + [1 - h(\phi)]f^\alpha (c^\alpha)
\]

Decoupling bulk and interfacial kinetics

- **Kinetic equations (Linear non-equilibrium thermodynamics)**
  - Allen-Cahn
    \[ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = -M \varphi \frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi} \]
  - Diffusion
    \[ \frac{\partial c_k}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{C-1} h(\phi) M_{kl}^\beta + [1 - h(\phi)] M_{kl}^\alpha \right) \nabla \mu_i \]

- **Jump in chemical potential accross interface**

- **Solute trapping effect**
  - \( \Delta \mu_i \propto \ell \)
  - \( \Delta \mu_i \propto \nu_n \)

- **Dilute, \( D_s=0 \):** A.Karma, PRL, 87, 115701 (2001); B. Echebarria et al., PRE, 70, 061604 (2004)
- **Multi-comp, \( D_s=0 \):** S.G. Kim, Acta Mater, 55, p4391 (2007)
Multi-grain and multi-phase structures

• Single phase-field models -> Multiple phase-field models
  \[ \eta \rightarrow \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \ldots, \eta_p\} \]
  \[ (\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_i, \ldots, \eta_p) = (0, 0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0) \]

• Model extension
  \[ F(\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \ldots, |\nabla \eta_1|^2, |\nabla \eta_2|^2, \ldots) \]
  – Different types of interfaces
  – Triple and higher order junctions

• Numerically
  – Same accuracy for all interfaces and phases
  – All interfaces within range of validity of the thin interface asymptotics
    \[ \rightarrow \ell_{num} = cte \]
Multi-grain and multi-phase models: major difficulties

- **Third-phase contributions**
  - $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{13} = 7/10 \sigma_{12}$

- → Careful choice of multi-well function and gradient contribution

- **Interpolation function**
  - Zero-slope at equilibrium values of the phase fields
  - Thermodynamic consistency

$$f_{\text{chem}} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots) f_i(c, T) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots) = 1$$
Anisotropic grain growth model

- **Phase fields** \( \eta_1, \eta_2, ..., \eta_i(r,t), ..., \eta_p \)
  - With grain \( i \)
    \[ (\eta_1, \eta_2, ..., \eta_i, ..., \eta_p) = (0, 0, ..., 1, ..., 0) \]

- **Free energy**
  \[
  F_{\text{interf}} = \int_V m \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\eta_i^4}{4} - \frac{\eta_i^2}{2} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j<i}^{p} \gamma_{i,j} \eta_i^2 \eta_j^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{\kappa(\eta)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\nabla \eta_i)^2 dV
  \]

  \[ \kappa(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j<i}^{p} \kappa_{i,j} \eta_i^2 \eta_j^2 / \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j<i}^{p} \eta_i^2 \eta_j^2 \]

  - For each grain boundary \( \eta_i^2 \eta_j^2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \kappa(\eta) = \kappa_{i,j} \)

- **Inclination dependence**
  \[
  \gamma_{i,j} (\psi_{i,j}), \kappa_{i,j} (\psi_{i,j}), L_{i,j} (\psi_{i,j}), \quad \psi_{i,j} = \frac{\nabla \eta_i - \nabla \eta_j}{|\nabla \eta_i - \nabla \eta_j|}
  \]

L.-Q. Chen and W. Yang, PRB, 50 (1994) p15752
A. Kazaryan et al., PRB, 61 (2000) p14275
Non-variational approach – equal interface width

- Ginzburg-Landau type equations

\[
\frac{\partial \eta_i(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = -L(\eta) \left[ m \left( \eta_i^3 - \eta_i + 2\eta_i \sum_{j\neq i} \gamma_{i,j} \eta_j^2 \right) - \kappa(\eta) \nabla^2 \eta_i \right]
\]

- Non-variational with respect to \(\eta\)-dependence of \(\kappa\)
- Similar to Monte Carlo Potts approach

- Definition ‘grain boundary width’

\[
l_{num} = \frac{1}{\left| \frac{d\eta_i}{dx} \right|_{\text{max}}} = \frac{1}{\left| \frac{d\eta_j}{dx} \right|_{\text{max}}} = \frac{1}{\left| \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} \right|_{\text{max}}}
\]

→ High controllability of numerical accuracy \((l_{num}/R < 5)\)
Grain boundary properties

- Grain boundary energy
  \[ \gamma_{gb,\theta_{i,j}} = g(\gamma_{i,j}) \sqrt{m\kappa_{i,j}} \]

- Grain boundary mobility
  \[ \mu_{gb,\theta_{i,j}} = L_{i,j} \frac{\kappa_{i,j}}{m(g(\gamma_{i,j}))^2} \]

- Grain boundary width
  \[ l = \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_{i,j}}{m(g(\gamma_{i,j}))^2}} \]

- Iterative algorithm
  \[ \ell_{gb}, [\gamma_{gb,\theta}], [\mu_{gb,\theta}] \rightarrow m, [\kappa_{i,j}], [\gamma_{i,j}], [L_{i,j}] \]

\[ g(\gamma_{i,j}) \text{ calculated numerically} \]

**Numerical validation**

- **Shrinking grain:**
  \[
  \frac{dA}{dt} = -2\pi \mu_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}
  \]

- **Triple junction angles:**
  \[
  \frac{dA}{dt} = -\mu_{\alpha\gamma} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}
  \]
  \[\sigma_{\alpha\gamma} = \sigma_{\beta\gamma}, \mu_{\alpha\gamma} = \mu_{\beta\gamma}\]

- **Observations**
  - Accuracy controlled by \(\ell_{\text{num}} / \Delta x\)
  - Diffuse interface effects for \(\ell_{\text{num}} / R > 5\)
  - Angles outside \([100°-140°]\) require larger \(\ell_{\text{num}} / \Delta x\) for same accuracy
Variational approach – interface width varies with interface energy

- Anisotropy only through $\gamma_{i,p}$, $\kappa = \text{cte}$
- Energy
- Kinetic equations

$$F = \int_V \left[ m \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left( \frac{\eta_i^4}{4} - \frac{\eta_i^2}{2} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j<i}^{p} \gamma_{i,j} \eta_i^2 \eta_j^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\nabla \eta_i)^2 \right] dV$$

$$\frac{\partial \eta_i(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = -L(\eta) \left[ m \left( \eta_i^3 - \eta_i + 2\eta_i \sum_{j \neq i}^{p} \gamma_{i,j} \eta_j^2 \right) - \kappa \nabla^2 \eta_i \right]$$

$\theta = 168.5^\circ$

$\sigma_{13} = \sigma_{23} = 5\sigma_{12}$
Rotation invariance of the model

- Mathematically, the model equations are invariant to rotation, but …
- the order parameters represent orientations in a fixed reference frame.
- The precision of $\alpha$ depends on the numerical setup,
- For the model to be rotational invariant in practice, lower limit of amount of order parameters $p$:

$$p > \frac{\sqrt{2\pi L}}{n\Delta h}$$

$J. \text{Heulens and N. Moelans, Scripta Mat. (2010)}$
Extension to multi-component multi-phase alloys

- **Phase field variables:**
  \[ \eta_{\alpha_1}, \eta_{\alpha_2}, ..., \eta_{\alpha_i}(r,t), ..., \eta_{\beta_1}, \eta_{\beta_2}, ..., \eta_p \]
  
- **Grains**
  \[ c_A, c_B(r,t), ..., c_{C-1} \]

- **Composition**
  \[ f_{\text{bulk}}(c_k, \eta_{\rho_i}) = \sum_{\rho} \phi_{\rho} f^\rho(c_k^\rho) \]

- **Bulk energy:**
  
- **with**
  \[
  \begin{cases}
  \frac{\partial f^\beta(c_k^\beta)}{\partial c_k^\beta} = \frac{\partial f^\alpha(c_k^\alpha)}{\partial c_k^\alpha} = ... = \bar{\mu}_k \\
  x_k = \sum_{\rho} \phi_{\rho} x_k^\rho 
  \end{cases}
  \]

  and
  \[
  \phi_{\rho} = \frac{\sum \eta_{\rho_i}^2}{\sum_{\pi=\alpha,\beta,...} \sum_i \eta_{\pi i}^2}
  \]
Extension to multi-component multi-phase alloys

- **Bulk and interface diffusion:**
  \[
  \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left[ \left( \sum_{\rho} \phi_{\rho} M_k^\rho + \sum_{\rho,i \neq \sigma,j} \eta_{\rho,i}^2 \eta_{\sigma,j}^2 \right) \nabla \mu_k \right]
  \]
  With \( M_k^\rho = \frac{D_k^\rho}{\frac{\partial^2 f_m^\rho}{\partial x_k^2}} \)
  and
  \[ M_{\text{interf}} = 3 \left( \frac{D_{\text{interf}}}{\frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_k^2}} \right) \left( \frac{\delta_{\text{gb}}}{\delta_{\text{num}}} \right) \]

- **Interface movement:**
  \[
  \frac{\partial \eta_{i\rho}}{\partial t} = -L \frac{\delta F(\eta_{i\rho}, x_k)}{\delta \eta_{i\rho}}
  \]

- **Between phase \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \)**
  \[
  \frac{\partial \eta_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial t} = -L \left( g_{\text{int}}(\eta, \nabla \eta) + \frac{2 \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\alpha\beta}^2}{(\eta_{\alpha}^2 + \eta_{\beta}^2)^2} \left( f^\alpha(\eta_{\alpha}) - f^\beta(\eta_{\beta}) - (\eta_{\alpha} - \eta_{\beta}) \mu \right) \right)
  \]

Curvature driven

Bulk energy driven

Nele Moelans
Third annual workshop HERO-M, Saltsjöbaden, Sweden, May 17-18, 2010
Numerical validation for multi-component multi-phase model

- **Triple junction**
- **Growing sphere**
- **Intermediate phase**
- **Coarsening**

- Processes for which \( v(t) \) \( \downarrow \)
  - Conclusions for grain growth model remain
    - Accuracy controlled by \( \ell_{\text{num}} / \Delta x \)
    - Diffuse interface effects for \( \ell_{\text{num}} / R > 5 \)
    - Angles outside \([100°-140°]\) require larger resolution \( \ell_{\text{num}} / \Delta x \) for same accuracy

Nele Moelans
Third annual workshop HERO-M, Saltsjöbaden, Sweden, May 17-18, 2010
**Bounding Box Implementation**

- **Basic elements**
  - A grain is a set of connected grid points $r$ where $|\eta_i(r)| > \epsilon$
  - For each grain, the corresponding bounding box is the smallest cuboid containing the grain

**Algorithm**
- Solve the equations only locally, inside bounding boxes
- Only values inside boxes are kept in memory
- Boxes grow or shrink with grain

**Object Oriented C++ implementation**

*In collaboration with L. Vanherpe and S. Vandewalle, K.U. Leuven (Vanherpe et al., PRE, 76, n°056702 (2007))

Nele Moelans
Third annual workshop HERO-M, Saltsjöbaden, Sweden, May 17-18, 2010
Application examples

- Grain growth in anisotropic systems with a fiber texture

- In collaboration with F. Spaepen, Harvard University
Grain growth in columnar films with fiber texture

- Grain boundary energy:
  - Fourfold symmetry
  - Extra cusp at $\theta = 37.5^\circ$
  - Read-shockley

- Discrete orientations

  $\eta_1, \eta_2, ..., \eta_i(r,t), ..., \eta_{60} \Rightarrow \Delta \theta = 1.5^\circ$

- Constant mobility
- Initially random grain orientation and grain boundary type distributions

2D simulation

White: $\theta = 1.5$
Gray: $\theta = 3$
Red: $\theta = 37.5$
Black: $\theta > 3$, $\theta \neq 37.5$

Nele Moelans
Third annual workshop HERO-M, Saltsjöbaden, Sweden, May 17-18, 2010
Simulations: 1 high-angle energy cusp

- High-angle grain boundaries form independent network
- Low-angle grain boundaries follow movement of high-angle grain boundaries $\xrightarrow{}$ elongate
- No stable quadruple junctions

White: $\theta = 1.5$
Gray: $\theta = 3$
Black: $\theta > 3$, $\theta \neq 37.5$
Red: $\theta = 37.5$
Misorientation distribution function (MDF)

- Area weighted MDF

**Read-Shockley + cusp at $\theta = 37.5^\circ$**

- Reaches a steady-state
- Low energy boundaries lengthen + their number increases
Grain growth kinetics

- Grain growth exponent
  - PFM: steady-state growth with 
    \( n \approx 1 \)
  - Previous findings: 
    \( n = 0.6...1 \)
- Mean field analysis:
  \[
  n_{\text{eff}} = \frac{kt}{A_h(0) + kt} \rightarrow 1, t \rightarrow \infty
  \]
  \[
  k_{\text{eff}} = \left( \frac{dA_{\text{eff}}}{dt} \right)_{t=0} = \frac{k}{1 + N'/N}
  \]

Read-Shockley \((\theta_m = 15^\circ) + \text{cusp at } \theta = 37.5^\circ\)

Application examples

- Coarsening of Al₆Mn precipitates located on a recrystallization front in Al-Mn alloys

_in collaboration with_ A. Miroux, E. Anselmino, S. van der Zwaag, T. U. Delft
Jerky motion during recrystallization in Al-Mn alloy

- In-situ EBSD observation of recrystallization in AA3103 at 400 °C
  - CamScan X500 Crystal Probe FEGSEM

- Jerky grain boundary motion
  - Stopping time: 15-25 s
  - Pinning by second-phase precipitates
    - $\text{Al}_6(\text{Fe,Mn})$, $\alpha\text{-Al}_{12}(\text{Fe,Mn})_3\text{Si}$

- Added to phase field model
  - Grain boundary diffusion
  - Driving force for recrystallization
Phase field model

- Multiple order parameter representation:
  \[ \eta_{m,1}(\vec{r}, t), \eta_{m,2}(\vec{r}, t), \ldots, \eta_{p,i}(\vec{r}, t), \ldots \]
  
  \[ (\eta_{m,1}, \eta_{m,2}, \ldots, \eta_{p,i}, \ldots) = (1, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots), (0, 1, \ldots, 0, \ldots), \ldots (0, 0, \ldots, 1, \ldots), \ldots \]

- Mn composition field: \[ x_{Mn}(\vec{r}, t) \]

- Homogeneous driving pressure for recrystallization: \[ m_d \]

- Bulk diffusion + Surface diffusion
### Material properties at 723K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grain boundary energy high angle</td>
<td>$\gamma_h = 0.324 \text{ J/m}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfacial energy $\text{Al}_6\text{Mn}$ precipitates</td>
<td>$\gamma_{pr} = 0.3 \text{ J/m}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility high angle grain boundary</td>
<td>$M_h = 2.94 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2\text{s/kg}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At solute content 0.3w% Mn</td>
<td>(Miroux et al., Mater. Sci. Forum, 467-470, 393 (2004))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium composition of matrix</td>
<td>$c_{\text{Mn,eq}} = 0.0524 \text{ w}% \ (0.02456 \text{ at}%)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PhD thesis Lok 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual composition of matrix (supersaturated)</td>
<td>$c_{\text{Mn}} = 0.3 \text{ w}% \ (0.1474 \text{ at}%)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(PhD thesis Lok 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn diffusion in fcc Al</td>
<td>$D_{0,\text{bulk}} = 10^{-2} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}, \ Q_{\text{bulk}} = 211 \text{ kJ/mol}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\rightarrow D_{\text{bulk}} = 5.5973 \cdot 10^{-18} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe diffusion high angle boundaries, precipitate/matrix interface</td>
<td>$D_{0,p} = D_{0,\text{bulk}} \ Q_p = 0.65Q_{\text{bulk}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\rightarrow D_p = 1.2195 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk energy density: $f^p = A^p(x-x^p_0)^2$</td>
<td>$A^m = 6 \cdot 10^{11}; \ x^m_0 = 0.000258$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A^p = 6 \cdot 10^{12}; \ x^p_0 = 0.1429$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Precipitate coarsening and unpinning

- \( P_D < P_{ZS} (P_D \approx P_{ZS}) \)
  - Pinning: \( P_{ZS} = 3.6 \text{ MPa} \)
  - Rex: \( P_D = 3.1 \text{ MPa} \)

Unpinning mainly through surface diffusion around precipitates

\[
J = \sqrt{J_x^2 + J_y^2}
\]

0.002 s

0.9\( \mu \text{m} \times 0.375\mu \text{m} \)

6 s

7\cdot10^{-10}
Precipitate coarsening and unpinning

- $P_D \ll P_{ZS}$
  - Pinning: $P_{ZS} = 3.6$ MPa
  - Rex: $P_D = 1.1$ MPa

Unpinning through grain boundary diffusion

\[ J = \sqrt{J_x^2 + J_y^2} \]

2 s

0.9µm x 0.375µm

8 s
Application examples

- Coarsening and diffusion controlled growth in lead-free solder joints

*Within the framework of COST MP-0602 (Advanced Solder Materials for High Temperature Application), Chairs A. Kroupa and A. Watson*
Coarsening in Sn(-Ag)-Cu solder joints

- IMC formation and growth – precipitate growth – Kirkendal voids – stresses – grain boundary diffusion
  - CALPHAD description
  - Diffusion coefficients, growth coefficient for IMC-layers

SEM-image of Sn – 3.8Ag–0.7 Cu alloy after annealing for 200h at 150 °C (Peng 2007)
Phase field model

- **Multiple order parameter model:**
  \[ \eta_{(Cu),1}, \eta_{(Cu),2}, \ldots, \eta_{(Cu),i}(r, t), \ldots, \]
  \[ \eta_{Cu_3Sn,1}, \eta_{Cu_3Sn,2}, \ldots, \]
  \[ \eta_{Cu_6Sn_5,1}, \eta_{Cu_6Sn_5,2}, \ldots, \]
  \[ \eta_{(Sn),1}, \eta_{(Sn),2}, \ldots, \eta_{(Sn),i}, \ldots \]

  - with \( \eta_{(Cu),1}, \eta_{(Cu),2}, \ldots, \eta_{\rho,i}, \ldots \) = \( (1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots), (0,1,\ldots,0,\ldots), \ldots, (0,0,\ldots,1,\ldots), \ldots \)

- **Grains and phases**

- **Composition field:** \( x_{Sn}(r, t) \)
• COST 531-v3-0 database (+ parabolic extensions)

Cu-Sn

IMC-layer growth (1D)

- Effect bulk diffusion coefficient

\[
D_{Sn}^{(Sn)} = 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Sn}^{(Cu)} = 10^{-25} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Cu3Sn}^{(Cu3Sn)} = 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Cu6Sn5}^{(Cu6Sn5)} = 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Sn}^{(Sn)} = 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Sn}^{(Cu)} = 10^{-25} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Cu3Sn}^{(Cu3Sn)} = 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Cu6Sn5}^{(Cu6Sn5)} = 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Sn}^{(Sn)} = 10^{-14} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Sn}^{(Sn)} = 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Sn}^{(Cu)} = 10^{-25} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Cu3Sn}^{(Cu3Sn)} = 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Cu6Sn5}^{(Cu6Sn5)} = 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Sn}^{(Sn)} = 10^{-14} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Sn}^{(Sn)} = 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Sn}^{(Cu)} = 10^{-25} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Cu3Sn}^{(Cu3Sn)} = 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Cu6Sn5}^{(Cu6Sn5)} = 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]

\[
D_{Sn}^{(Sn)} = 10^{-14} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]
Comparison with experimental data

**Cu3Sn, T = 180 °C**

- **Parabolic growth constant experiments**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T</th>
<th>k_Cu3Sn</th>
<th>k_Cu6Sn5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150 °C</td>
<td>0.0010 10^{-6}</td>
<td>0.00032 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 °C</td>
<td>0.0032 10^{-6}</td>
<td>0.0038 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 °C</td>
<td>0.0043 10^{-6}</td>
<td>0.0071 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cu6Sn5, T = 180 °C**

- **Parabolic growth constant in simulations with**
  \[ D_{Sn}^{(Cu)} = 10^{-25} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \]
  \[ D_{Sn}^{Cu3Sn} = 10^{-15} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \]
  \[ D_{Sn}^{Cu6Sn5} = 10^{-15} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \]
  \[ D_{Sn}^{(Sn)} = 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \]
  \[ \Rightarrow k_{Cu3Sn} = 0.0023 \cdot 10^{-6} \]
  \[ k_{Cu6Sn5} = 0.0073 \cdot 10^{-6} \]

\*J. Janckzak, EMPA*
Effect of grain boundary diffusion

\[ D_{Sn}^{(Cu)} = 2 \cdot 10^{-25} \times 2 \cdot 10^{-25} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \]
\[ D_{Sn}^{Cu3Sn} = 2 \cdot 10^{-15} \times 2 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \]
\[ D_{Sn}^{Cu6Sn5} = 2 \cdot 10^{-15} \times 2 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \]
\[ D_{Sn}^{(Sn)} = 2 \cdot 10^{-12} \times 2 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \]
\[ \gamma_{gb} = 0.25 \text{ J/m}^2 \]
Growth behavior $Cu_3Sn$?

Grain structure

Composition: $x_{Sn}$

\[
D^{(Cu)}_{Sn} = 2 \cdot 10^{-25} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]
\[
D^{Cu3Sn}_{Sn} = 2 \cdot 10^{-15} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]
\[
D^{Cu6Sn5}_{Sn} = 2 \cdot 10^{-15} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]
\[
D^{(Sn)}_{Sn} = 2 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]
\[
D^{surf}_{Sn} = 2 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}
\]
Conclusions

- What do we need next to improve the quantitative accuracy of phase-field models?
  - Accurate representation of triple-junction angles outside [100° - 140°]
  - CALPHAD Gibbs energies over full composition domain, also for stoichiometric phases and metastable regions
  - Composition and orientation dependent expressions for diffusion and interfacial properties
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Multi-grain and multi-phase models

- **Multi-phase-field model**
  - Phase fields \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \ldots \varphi_p, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p} \varphi_i = 1 \)
  - Free energy
    \[
    f_{\text{int}} = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{4\sigma_{i,j} \eta_{i,j}^2}{\eta_{i,j}^2} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \nabla \varphi_i \cdot \nabla \varphi_j \right| + \varphi_i \varphi_j \right)
    \]
    \[0 < \phi_{i,j} < 1\]
    - Double obstacle, higher order terms, gradient term non-variational
    - Interpolation: zero-slope or thermodynamic consistency

- **Multi-order parameter models**
  - Order parameters \( \eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_i(r,t), \ldots, \eta_p, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p} \eta_i \neq 1 \)
  - Interfacial energy
    \[
    f_{\text{int}} = m \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left( \frac{\eta_i^4}{4} - \frac{\eta_i^2}{2} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{j<i} \gamma_{i,j} \eta_i^2 \eta_j^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{\kappa(\eta)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\nabla \eta_i)^2
    \]
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Multi-grain and multi-phase models

- Vector valued model
  - Orientation field ($\theta$) and phase field ($\phi$)
  - Free energy $f_{int} = f(\phi, |\nabla \phi|, |\nabla \theta|)$

- 2-phase solidification
  - Phase fields $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$, $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \varphi_i = 1$
  - Fifth order interpolation functions $g_i(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$
    - Zero-slope and thermodynamic consistent
    - Order $g_i$ increases with number of phase-fields

- Multi-order parameter + 4th order gradient terms

- Phase field crystal and amplitude equations

R. Folch and M. Plapp, PRE, 72 (2005) n° 011602
Bounding Box Algorithm

- Initialization by random nucleation
  - Generate sphere-shaped grain uniformly over microstructure
  - Generate particles uniformly over microstructure
- Set-up sparse data structure
  - Determine bounding box for every grain
  - Create object for every grain